	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	DWEK ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	Allowed	
(1) <u>Daniel M. Sullivan</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Sarah Fashena</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 October 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	•	
Part I.	,		
Rejection(s) discussed:		•	
Claims discussed: 1,4-8,12,13,15-42			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The examiner requested authorization for the examiner's		WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contraction of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substant f the interview, since the intervi	nce
·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	oplicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)	